

Intravenous Thrombolysis in Hyperacute Ischemic Stroke: NINS, Bangladesh Experience of First 100 Cases

Das SC, Moniruzzaman M, Nayeem A, Rashid MB, Mostafa G, Sayeed SKJB, Basiruzzaman M, Islam M, Esteak T, Ashaduzzaman M, Das S, Hasan MI, Haque AKMM, Alam ZN, Jannat T, Mahmud S, Islam MS, Choudhury MSJH, Chowdhury MTI, Mondol BA, Mohammad QD

National Institute of Neurosciences & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh *Correspondence: Dr. Subir Chandra Das, Junior consultant, NINS & H E-mail: drsubir12@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Intravenous thrombolytic therapy is associated with a significant net reduction of disability after acute ischemic stroke. However, information on the benefit–risk profile of thrombolytic therapy in hyperacute ischemic stroke in Bangladeshi people is unavailable at present. The present study was aimed to share the experiences and to determine the predictors of functional outcome of intravenous thrombolytic therapy in a sample of Bangladeshi patients with hyperacute ischemic stroke.

Methodology: This retrospective cohort study included patients with hyperacute ischemic stroke aged between 18 and 80 years old who received IV rtPA in the first 4.5 h time window from the onset of stroke symptoms in between December 2019 to August 2021. The patients' demographics, baseline characteristics and location of stroke were documented. Stroke severity was assessed at time of admission and 24-h after IV rtPA using NIHSS score. All the data were analyzed and correlated with the mRS at 3-months after receiving IV rtPA.

Results: Among the thrombolysed patients, around half (45%) of them had severe stroke (NIHSS score >9). Mean admission NIHSS score was 9.45 (\pm 4.56) whereas mean NIHSS score at 24-hours rtPA was 5.71 (\pm 5.92). Functional outcome was assessed by mRS score at 3-months with a mean score of 1.94 (\pm 2.2), where good outcome was found in 70% patients. In the study, age <70 years (OR, 0.31; 95% CI, 0.15-0.71; p=0.008), NIHSS Score <10 (OR, 0.06; 95% CI, 0.04-0.52; p=0.001) and door-to-needle time <60 mins (OR, 0.4; 95% CI, 0.09-0.94; p=0.02) were associated with good functional outcome (mRS, 0-2) whereas, door-to-needle time >60 mins (OR, 3.27; 95% CI, 1.06-10.1; p= 0.02) and symptoms onset 3-4.5 hours (OR, 1.58; 95% CI, 1.15- 2.78; p=0.03) were associated with poor functional outcome (mRS, 3-6).

Conclusion: Intravenous thrombolytic therapy improves the overall functional outcome among hyperacute ischemic stroke patients. In patients aged less than 70 years, admission NIHSS score <10, door-to-needle time and onset to needle time could be used as independent predictors of functional outcome.

Keywords: Hyperacute ischemic stroke, IV thrombolysis, Alteplase, NIHSS score, mRS score

Introduction

Stroke is the second most common cause of death and it accounts for 11.0 % of total deaths in 2015 and the fifth leading cause of death in the USA; in addition more than 80.0% strokes are ischemic strokes¹. Globally, stroke burden on families and society is projected to rise from approximately 38 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) in 1990 to 61 million DALYs in 2020 due to population aging and is projected to further rise till 2030². According to the survey on stroke, the prevalence of stroke in Bangladesh is 1.96 per 1000 population³. The majority of cases (82.3%) occur in individuals over the age of 40⁴. Intravenous thrombolytic therapy remains the guidelinerecommended treatment to improve outcomes after acute ischemic stroke—especially in patients without proximal arterial occlusion—and is associated with low complication rates⁵. Intravenous thrombolytic therapy improves functional outcome at three to six months when given within 4.5 h of ischemic stroke onset⁶.

Alteplase is associated with a significant net reduction in death and disability after ischemic stroke despite a small but significant increase in the risk of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH). The use of alteplase is limited to fewer than 5% of AIS patients⁷; however, because of the limited therapeutic window, insufficient awareness among public and professionals and undue worries about the risk of ICH⁸. Two observational studies—the Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke-Monitoring Study (SITS-MOST) in Europe⁹ and the Canadian Alteplase for Stroke Effectiveness Study (CASES)¹⁰—both confirmed that rtPA is safe and effective when the treatment protocol is closely followed. However, intracerebral hemorrhage remains the most feared side effect of rtPA¹¹.

In America and Europe, the current rt-PA dose for acute ischemic stroke is 0.9 mg/kg. In contrast, the optimal dose required for attaining a coronary potency rate of 65% to 80% is 0.5 to 0.75 mg/kg in Japan and China, far lower than in Europe and the United States (approximately 1.25 mg/kg)¹²⁻¹⁵ a lower dose (0.6 mg/kg) was used in the Japan Alteplase Clinical Trial (J-ACT)¹⁶. The safety and efficacy of rt-PA in the J-ACT were comparable to that in the National Institute of Neurological Disorders (NINDS) study¹⁷ low-dose alteplase (0.6 mg/kg) for acute ischemic stroke was approved in Japan in 2005.

Later studies in Japan also confirmed that low-dose therapy has similar outcomes compared with regular-dose therapy in western patients^{18, 19}. However, information on the benefit– risk profile in the thrombolytic treatment of hyperacute ischemic stroke in Bangladeshi people is unavailable at present. In view of this, the study was aimed to share the experience as well as to determine the predictors of functional outcome of intravenous thrombolytic therapy in a sample of Bangladeshi patients with hyperacute ischemic stroke.

Methodology Study Settings and Population

This retrospective cohort study was done in National Institute of Neurosciences and Hospital (NINS & H), Dhaka, Bangladesh. Patients with hyperacute ischemic stroke aged between 18 and 80 years old who received IV rtPA in the first 4.5 h time window from the onset of stroke symptoms were enrolled in the study. Patients received treatment with intravenous thrombolytic therapy (Alteplase) according to the last updated guidelines of American Heart Association and American Stroke Association (AHA/ASA). Patients were enrolled in retrospective manner from stroke registry who were treated between December 2019 and August 2021. Before enrollment, informed written consent was taken and confidentiality was kept.

Study Procedure

We analyzed patients demographic, baseline characteristics, location of stroke lesion based on CT brain, and classified strokes into anterior circulation and posterior circulation ischemic stroke. Stroke severity was assessed at time of admission and 24-h after IV rtPA using NIHSS score and was then categorized into mild stroke (when the NIHSS score was <5; moderate stroke when the NIHSS score was from 5-9), and severe stroke (when the NIHSS score was >9)²⁰. Doorto-needle time which was a parameter of efficiency of acute stroke care system was also assessed. It was assessed timing of IV rtPA administration from onset of stroke symptoms which is a possible potential predictor of the functional outcome. Comorbidities (e.g, HTN, IHD, DM, AF) were recorded. All the previous data were analyzed and correlated with the mRS at 3-months after receiving IV rtPA. Patients with mRS score 2 or less were considered to have a good functional outcome and patients with score 3 or more were considered to have poor functional outcome²¹. Post-IV rtPA ICH was defined as any hemorrhage in the brain documented by CT or MRI within 36 h after thrombolysis. ICH was classified into symptomatic (sICH) and asymptomatic ICH and sICH was defined as hemorrhage exceeding 30% in the infarcted area with significant space occupying effect with deterioration in NIHSS score of ≥ 4 points or death²².

Allocation of Drug

Actilyse 50 mg vial at a dose of 0.6 to 0.9 mg/kg intravenously (10% bolus infused in 1 min, the remaining 90% infused over 1 h).

Follow Up and Outcome Measures

Patients were followed up prospectively to assess the

functional outcome at 3 months after IV rtPA using mRS, which was a tool used to assess the functional status of the stroke survivors. The primary outcome of the study was safety, which was assessed by the occurrence of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage (sICH) and death within 3 months. The secondary outcome was efficacy, which was measured with functional outcome at 3-months by the modified Rankin Scale (mRS). As the COVID-19 situation was ongoing, follow-ups were done using telephone and social media—whichever was available.

Statistical Analysis

Quantitative data (continuous variables) were expressed as means and median, standard deviation and interquartile range (IQR) where applicable. Qualitative data (categorical variables) were expressed as counts and percentages. Data was analyzed by chi-square test, student's t test, and logistic regression analysis where P value <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Predictive factors were presented in the form of odds ratio with 95% confidence interval (CI). Data was analyzed by IBM SPSS software package version 22.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

Results

The study was included total 100 patients; male were 77 (77.0%) and female were 23 (23.0%), age ranged between 30 and 80 years with mean age 53.97 (\pm 12.89) years. Hypertension 60 (60.0%), DM (18.0%) were the most common comorbid risk factor. Two third (61.0%) of the patients reached to the hospital within 3-4.5 hours of symptom onset (onset to needle time) but most of the patients (85.0%) received thrombolysis within 60 mins of admission (door-to-needle time) (table 1).

Hemiparesis (98.0%), speech difficulty (67.0%), and facial deviation (66.0%) were the most common presenting features of the patients. Based on NIHSS score, severity of stroke was categorized into mild, moderate and severe, where 16 (16.0%) patients had mild, 39 (39.0%) moderate and 45 (45.0%) patients had severe stroke. Mean admission NIHSS score was 9.45 (\pm 4.56) whereas mean NIHSS score at 24-hours rtPA was 5.71 (\pm 5.92) and mean ASPECTS

score was 9.38 (\pm 1.12). Total 8 (8.0%) patients developed intracerebral hemorrhage following IV rtPA where 5 patients had asymptomatic and 3 patients had symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage (table 2). Functional outcome was assessed by mRS score at 3-months with a mean score of 1.94 (\pm 2.2). Good (0-2) outcome was found in 70 (70.0%) and poor (3-6) outcome in 30 (30.0%), where 17 (17.0%) patients died (table 3).

In the study, the demographic characteristics like gender and the comorbid risk factors showed no significant association (p>0.05) with the functional outcome. But age <70 years was associated with good functional outcome (OR, 0.31; 95% CI, 0.15-0.71; p=0.008). Also NIHSS Score <10 (OR, 0.06; 95% CI, 0.04-0.52; p=0.001) and door-to-needle time <60 mins (OR, 0.4; 95% CI, 0.09-0.94; p=0.02) were associated with good functional outcome (mRS, 0-2). On the other hand door-to-needle time >60 mins (OR, 3.27; 95% CI, 1.06-10.1; p= 0.02) and onset of symptoms 3-4.5 hours (OR, 1.58; 95% CI, 1.15- 2.78; p=0.03) were associated with poor functional outcome (mRS, 3-6) (table 4).

Discussions

This study has demonstrated that use of intravenous thrombolytic therapy for hyperacute ischemic stroke is associated with better functional and neurological outcomes and significantly reduce the effect of stroke morbidity; this was in agreement with several studies that supported the short- and long-term outcome benefits of IV rtPA like the large third international stroke trial²³ and the systematic review and meta-analysis study of real-world outcomes of hyperacute ischemic stroke treatment with intravenous thrombolysis²⁴.

This present study showed highly significant correlation between admission NIHSS score and the functional outcome, consequently categorical classification of stroke severity, and 24h after receiving IV rtPA. These correlations were found in the multinomial logistic regression analysis, showing that the NIHSS score <10 was considered to be a strong independent predictor of the functional outcome its increase was associated with poor functional outcome and vice versa and this was in agreement with the study conducted by Alejandro et al^{25} .

This study showed non-significant correlation between hypertension and functional outcome 3-months after receiving IV rtPA, which was similar to several studies which found non-significant correlation between history of hypertension and the functional outcome^{26, 27}. On the contrary, there were previous studies which revealed association between presence of hypertension and poor functional outcome 3-months after IV rtPA²⁸.

This study did not show significant correlations between DM and functional outcome after receiving IV rtPA, which was similar to other studies^{29, 30}. This result was contrary to studies which had identified DM as a predictor of poor functional outcome after receiving IV rtPA among stroke patients conducted by Roquer et al³¹.

In our study, there was non-significant correlation between post-rtPA ICH (asymptomatic, symptomatic) and functional outcome, which was contrary to majority of studies exploring that issue and found poor functional outcome and increased mortality rates among patients who developed sICH after receiving IV rtPA³². This might be due to small sample size in our study.

This study showed significant correlation between door-

to-needle time and functional outcome at 3 months after receiving IV rtPA. Similar association is also found in other studies^{33, 34}.

Conclusion

Intravenous thrombolytic therapy improves the overall functional outcome among hyperacute ischemic stroke patients. In patients aged less than 70 years, stroke severity, NIHSS score on admission, door-to-needle time, and onset to needle time could be used as independent predictors of the functional outcome.

Limitations of the Study

Our study was performed in a single center; therefore, the sample size was small. It included only those who completed the follow-up at 3 months after receiving IV rtPA. However, that follow-up period (3 months) is relatively short to some extent. Also, the study included the major potential variables affecting the functional outcome but there are other variables could affect the outcomes like biomarkers, genetics, history of previous stroke, and infarction volume.

Recommendation

Further prospective cohort studies with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up periods are recommended.

Demographic and baseline characteristics of the patients	No (%)
Gender Male Female	77 (77.0) 23(23.0)
Age MinMax. Mean ± SD Median	30-80 53.97±12.89 55 (50-59)
Risk factors DM HTN IHD AF	18 (18.0) 60 (60.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0)
door-to-needle time <60 min ≥60 min	85 (85.0) 15 (15.0)
Onset to needle time <3 hours 3-4.5 hours	39 (39.0) 61 (61.0)

Table 1: Demographic and baseline characteristics

Table 2: Clinical and radiological characteristics of the patients

Clinical characteristics of the patients	No (%)
Symptoms Hemiparesis Speech difficulty Facial deviation	98 (98.0) 67 (67.0) 66 (66.0)
NIHSS score On admission MinMax. Mean ± SD At 24-hours rtPA MinMax. Mean ± SD	2-22 9.45 ±4.56 0-24 5.71 ±5.92
Stroke severity (based on NIHSS score) Mild (<5) Moderate (5-9) Severe (>9)	16 (16.0) 39 (39.0) 45 (45.0)
Stroke location Anterior circulation Posterior circulation	100 (100) 0.0
ASPECTS score MinMax. Mean ± SD	7-10 9.38 ±1.12
Hemorrhage post rtPA Asymptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage Symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage No hemorrhage	5 (5.0) 3 (3.0) 92 (92.0)

Table 3: Functional outcome and mRS score at 3 months after IV rtPA

Functional outcome of IV rtPA	No (%)
Good functional outcome (0-2)	70 (70.0)
Poor functional outcome (3–6)	30 (30.0)
mRS score 3 months after IV rtPA	
0	37 (37.0)
1	21 (21.0)
2	12 (12.0)
3	6 (6.0)
4	6 (6.0)
5	1 (1.0)
6	17 (17.0)
MinMax.	0-6
Mean ± SD	1.94±2.2

Factor	Odds Ratio; 95% CI	^p value
Age < 70 years	0. 31; 0.15-0.71	0.008
Gender	1.02; 0.37-2.83	0.57
DM HTN	0.46; 0.15-1.6 0.67; 0.27-1.5	0.16 0.12
NIHSS Score <10	0.06; 0. 04-0.52	0.001
door-to-needle time < 60 min	0.4; 0.09-0.94	0.02
door-to-needle time > 60 min	3.27; 1.06-10.1	0.02
Onset to needle time < 3 hours	0.93; 0.75-1.24	0.14
Onset to needle time 3-4.5 hours	1.58; 1.15- 2.78	0.03

Table-4: Association of study variables with functional outcome

References

1. Donnan GA, Fisher M, Macleod M, et al. Stroke. Lancet. 2008;371:1612–23.

2. Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics–2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2015; 131(4):e29–322.

3. Mohammad QD, Habib M, Hoque A, Alam B, Haque B, Hossain S, Rahman KM, Khan SU. Prevalence of stroke above forty years. Mymensingh medical journal: MMJ. 2011 Oct 1;20(4):640-4.

4. Mackay J, Mensah GA. The Atlas of Heart Disease and Stroke. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004.

5. Saha UK, Alam MB, Rahman AKMF et al. Epidemiology of stroke: findings from a community-based survey in rural Bangladesh. Public Health. 2018;160: 26-32.

6. Lansberg MG, Bluhmki E, Thijs VN. Efficacy and safety of tissue plasminogen activator 3 to 4.5 hours after acute ischemic stroke: a meta analysis. Stroke.2009;40:2438–41.

7. Micieli G, Marcheselli S, Tosi PA. Safety and efficacy of alteplase in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke. Vasc Health Risk Manag. 2009;5(1):397-409. doi:10.2147/vhrm.s4561

8. Molina CA, Saver JL. Extending reperfusion therapy for acute ischemic stroke: emerging pharmacological, mechanical, and imaging strategies [published correction appears in Stroke. 2006 Mar;37(3):931]. Stroke. 2005;36(10):2311-2320.

doi:10.1161/01.STR.0000182100.65262.46

9. Reeves MJ, Arora S, Broderick JP, et al. Acute stroke care in the US: results from 4 pilot prototypes of the Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke Registry [published correction appears in Stroke. 2005 Aug;36(8):1820]. Stroke. 2005;36(6):1232-1240. doi:10.1161/01.STR.0000165902.18021.5b

10. PlonedaPerilla AS, Schneck MJ. Unanswered questions in thrombolytic therapy for acute ischemic stroke. NeurolClin. 2013;31:677–704

11. Wahlgren N, Ahmed N, Dávalos A, et al. Thrombolysis with alteplase for acute ischaemic stroke in the Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke-Monitoring Study (SITS-MOST): an observational study [published correction appears in Lancet. 2007 Mar 10;369(9564):826]. Lancet. 2007;369(9558):275-282. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60149-4

12. Hill MD, Buchan AM. Canadian Alteplase for Stroke Effectiveness Study (CASES) Investigators. Thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke: results of the Canadian Alteplase for Stroke Effectiveness Study. CMAJ. 2005; 172:1307–1312.

13. Sane DC, Stump DC, Topol EJ, et al. Racial differences in responses to thrombolytic therapy with recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator. Increased fibrin(ogen) olysis in blacks. The Thrombolysis and Angioplasty in Myocardial Infarction Study Group. Circulation. 1991;83(1):170-175. doi:10.1161/01. cir.83.1.170

14. Ueshima S, Matsuo O. The differences in thrombolytic effects of administrated recombinant t-PA between Japanese and Caucasians. ThrombHaemost. 2002;87:544–546.

15. Ross AM, Gao R, Coyne KS, et al. A randomized trial confirming the efficacy of reduced dose recombinant tissue plasminogen activator in a Chinese myocardial infarction population and demonstrating superiority to usual dose urokinase: the TUCC trial. American Heart Journal. 2001 Aug;142(2):244-247. DOI: 10.1067/mhj.2001.116963. PMID: 11479462.

16. Yamaguchi T, Mori E, Minematsu K, et al. Alteplase at 0.6 mg/kg for acute ischemic stroke within 3 hours of onset: Japan Alteplase Clinical Trial (J-ACT). Stroke. 2006;37(7):1810-1815. doi:10.1161/01.STR.0000227191.01792.e3

17. National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke rt-PA Stroke Study Group. Tissue plasminogen activator for acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med. 1995;333(24):1581-1587. doi:10.1056/NEJM199512143332401

18. Tanahashi N. Thrombolysis by intravenous tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA)—current status and future direction. Brain Nerve. 2009;61:41–52.

19. Toyoda K, Koga M, Naganuma M, et al. Routine use of intravenous low-dose recombinant tissue plasminogen activator in Japanese patients: general outcomes and prognostic factors from the SAMURAI register. Stroke. 2009;40(11):3591-3595. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.562991

20. Banks JL, Marotta CA. Outcomes validity and reliability of the modified rankin scale: implications for stroke clinical trials—a literature review and synthesis. Stroke, 2007; 38(3):1091–6.

21. Wahlgren N, Ahmed N, Davalos A, et al. Thrombolysis with alteplase for acute ischaemic stroke in the Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis inStroke-Monitoring Study (SITS MOST): an observational study. Lancet. 2007;369(9558):275–82.

22. The NINDS t-PA Stroke Study Group. Intracerebral hemorrhage after intravenous t-PA therapy for ischemic stroke. Stroke. 1997;28:2109–18.

23. The IST-3 Collaborative Group. Effect of thrombolysis with alteplase within 6 h of acute ischemic stroke on long-term outcomes (the third International Stroke Trial [IST-3]): 18-month follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. 2013;12:768–76

24. Qin B, Zhao MJ, Chen H, et al. Real-World Outcomes of Acute Ischemic Stroke Treatment with Intravenous Thrombolysis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2018;27(12):3542–8.

25. Alejandro GT, Natalia GC, Carlos CC, et al. Factors associated with long-term prognosis after ischemic stroke treated with fibrinolytic agents. Emergencias.2015;27:34–8

26. Mei-Ling ST, Khean JG, Khairul AK, et al. Predictors of functional outcome in patients with stroke thrombolysis in a tertiary hospital in Malaysia. Singapore Med J. 2019 May; 60(5): 236–40.

27. Masoud M, Motahare A, Seyedeh FS, et al. Factors predicting the outcome of intravenous thrombolysis in stroke patients before rt-PA administration. Caspian J Intern Med. 2019; 10(4):424–30

28. Saposnik G, Reeves MJ, Johnston SC, et al. Predicting clinical outcomes after thrombolysis using the iScore results from the virtual international stroke trials archive. Stroke. 2013;44:2755–9

29. Bruno C, Colavita G, Romero G, et al. Predictors of clinical outcome after intravenous thrombolysis in ischemic stroke without large vessel occlusion: the role of admission glycemia. M J E-Med. 2018;3(1):030.

30. El-Khawas H, Nasef A, Gaber A, et al. Department of Neurology, Ain Shams University. Admission Hyperglycemia in Acute Ischemic Stroke: Effects on Short Term Prognosis. Egypt J. Neurol. Psychiat. Neurosurg. 2006;43(1):603–13

31. Roquer J, Rodríguez-Campello A, Cuadrado-Godia E, et al. Ischemic stroke in prediabetic patients. J Neurol. 2014;261:1866–70

32. Berger C, Fiorelli M, Steiner T, et al. Hemorrhagic transformation of ischemic brain tissue: asymptomatic or symptomatic? Stroke. 2001;32:1330–5.

33. Man S, Xian Y, Holmes DN, et al. Association Between Thrombolytic Door-to-Needle Time and 1-Year Mortality and Readmission in Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke. JAMA, 2020;323(21):2170–2184. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.5697

34. Wardlaw JM, Murray V, Berge E, delZoppo GJ. Thrombolysis for acute ischaemic stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;2014(7):CD000213. Published 2014 Jul 29. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000213.pub3

Special thanks to:

Dr. Quazi Deen Mohammad Dr. Jahirul Islam chowdhury Dr Badrul Alam Mondol Dr. Abu Nayeem Dr Subir Chandra Das Dr Md Moniruzzaman Dr. Bazlur Rashid Dr. Golam Mostafa Dr. Zakaria Bin Sayed Dr. Mazharul Isalm Dr. Bashiuzzaman Dr. Tarek Isteak Dr. Asaduzzaman Dr Iqbal Dr. Sumon Das Dr. Shahin Mahmood